UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,162	11/21/2003	Jacob Lahijani	FL0233USNA	2357
	7590 04/28/200 DE NEMOURS AND (EXAMINER		
LEGAL PATE	NT RECORDS CENTI	FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P		
4417 LANCAS	L PLAZA 25/1122B TER PIKE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WILMINGTON	N, DE 19805		1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-Legal.PRC@usa.dupont.com

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
William P. Fletcher III 1792		Office Action Occurrence	10/719,10	52	LAHIJANI, JACO	LAHIJANI, JACOB			
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Following the time rup be availation under the processors 37 CFR 1.160; in no result, however, may reply the dimension of the processors 37 CFR 1.160; in no result, towers, may reply the dimension of the communication of the processors of the processor of the processor of the processor of the specified above, the macroum statistics preced will apply and will expire SN (8) MON ITS from the mailing date of this communication, and the processor of the specified above, the macroum statistics preced will apply and will expire SN (8) MON ITS from the mailing date of this communication, even if smelly filled, may reduce any variety in part that the processor of the specified processor. Fallus to reply which the slot or calculate processor of the specified processor of the specified processor of the specified processor. Fallus to reply which the slot or calculate processor of the specified processor. Fallus to reply with the slot or calculated processor of the specified processor. Fallus to reply with the slot or calculated processor of the specified processor. Fallus to reply with the slot or calculation of the specified processor. Fallus to reply with the slot or condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exp parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Plays a claim (s) 1.13 is far a pending in the application. 4 Claim(s) 1.13 is far a pending in the application. 4 Claim(s) 1.13 is far a pending in the application. 4 Claim(s) 1.14 is far epicted. 7 Claim(s) 1.15 is far epicted to by the Examiner. 4 Claim(s) 1.15 is far epicted to by the Examiner. 5 Claim(s) 1.15 is far epicted to by the Examiner. 6 Claim(s) 1.15 is far epicted for the pri	Office Action Summary		Examine	•	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exhapsions of three may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136a). Time event, however, may a rapky be timely filed - Falanto night within the serie or exhausted period with the mailing date of this communication. - Falanto night within the serie or exhausted period with gradule cause the application to become ARMACONED (33 U.S.C. § 133). - Falanto night within the serie or exhausted period with the mailing date of this communication. - Falanto night within the serie or exhausted period with the mailing date of this communication. - Falanto night within the serie or exhausted period with the mailing date of this communication. - Falanto night within the serie or exhausted period with the mailing date of this communication. - Falanto night within the serie of this communication. - Falanto night within the serie of this communication. - Falanto night within the serie of this communication. - Falanto night within the serie of this communication. - Falanto night within the series of this communication. - Falanto night within the series of this communication. - Falanto night within the series of the series of the series of the communication. - Falanto night within the series of			William P.	Fletcher III	1792				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E-behanisor of the may be available under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.13(b). Inno event, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Provided the state of the communication of th			n appears on the	e cover sheet with th	ne correspondence a	ddress			
1)	WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the results.	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even. In. eriod will apply and wetatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICAT ent, however, may a reply b ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS t lication to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this of DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mall Date. Paper Nots/Mall Date. Online of Tortsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mall Date. Paper Nots/Mall Date. Online of Tortsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on a	16 January 200	R					
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•		-						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	~=	·—			prosecution as to th	e merits is			
4)	٥,١								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Dispositi	on of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Paper No(s)Mail Date Paper No(s)Mail Date Paper No(s)Mail Date Paper No(s)Mail Date 1) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applica	ation						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 							
6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some or None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Protesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	· ·								
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 10 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	•		nd/or election r	equirement					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic in the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			110,01 0100110111	oquii omonii					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application		•							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	•	-							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application					• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			5/						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment and remarks filed January 16, 2008, are noted with appreciation.

2. Claims 1-13 remain pending.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 9-13 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 8, 2006.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see the remarks, filed January 16, 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8 under 35 USC 103(a), have been fully considered and are persuasive. Honda does not teach a dry composition but a liquid dispersion. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Wu et al. (US 6,624,269 B2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. (US 6,624,269 B2) in view of JP 2904593 B2 (cited by applicant).

Art Unit: 1792

A. Claim 1

i. Wu teaches a rotolining composition [5:39-53] comprising particles of TFE/PEVE copolymer [2:33-63]. The composition is dry and melt-flowable [5:33-53]. The average diameter of the particles ranges from 25 microns to 2 mm [claim 1], which encompasses the claimed range of 100-3000 microns. In the case where a claimed range lies inside a rage disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists [MPEP 2144.05(I)].

- ii. Wu does not expressly state that the composition contains an adhesion-promoting, non-bubble-promoting metal powder.
- iii. JP '593 teaches the addition of a fine metal powder to a rotolining composition of TFE/perfluoroalkoxyethylene in order to suppress bubbling [0007, for example].
- iv. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Wu so as to include in the composition a metal powder. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so by the desire and expectation of suppressing bubbling in the composition during rotolining, yielding a uniform, defect-free film.
- v. While neither of these references expressly states that the metal powder also improves adhesion, since Wu and JP '593 teach the same copolymer materials, metal materials, and operating conditions as claimed by Applicant, it is the Examiner's position that the metal powder also inherently functions to improve adhesion as well, absent evidence to the contrary.

Art Unit: 1792

B. Claims 2-3

i. JP '593 further teaches that the metal powder is incorporated in an amount from 0.1%-30% [0018, for example], which encompasses the claimed range of 0.2%-5%. In the case where a claimed range lies inside a rage disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists [MPEP 2144.05(I)].

ii. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to further modify Wu so as to utilize metal powder in this concentration as such concentrations are disclosed by JP '593 as suitable and functional for suppression of bubbling.

C. Claims 4 & 6

i. JP '593 further teaches that the metal powder may be zinc or copper [0016, for example].

ii. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to further modify Wu so as to these metal powders as such are disclosed by JP '593 as suitable and functional for suppression of bubbling.

D. Claim 7 It is the Examiner's position that the coated rotolining composition of Wu reads on the limitations of this claim [Example 6].

E. Claim 8

i. None of the cited references expressly teaches stabilizing the copolymer.

Art Unit: 1792

ii. The Examiner takes official notice of the fact that stabilization of polymers is well-known in the art to reduce or eliminate degradation of the polymer.

- iii. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the composition of Honda so as to stabilize the copolymer for at least this reason.
- iv. Notice of this fact was first taken in the Office action mailed August23, 2006, and has not yet been specifically traversed by Applicant.
- 7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu and JP '593 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Winegar et al. (US 4,312,961 A).
 - A. Wu and JP '593 are applied herein again as above.
 - B. Neither of these references teaches that the metal is tin.
 - C. Winegar teaches that it is known to add tin powder to polymeric fluorocarbon rotolining compositions in order to suppress bubbling [5:9-33].
 - D. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Wu in view of JP '593 so as to add, as the metal powder, tin. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so by the desire and expectation of suppressing bubbling as taught by Winegar.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Sunday, 5:00 AM - 12:00 PM and Monday through Friday, 5:00 AM - 3:30 PM; on campus every Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,162

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 7

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/

Primary Examiner

April 23, 2008